



LIPSITZ & McALLISTER, LLC

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS

BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 8
755 MAIN STREET
MONROE, CONNECTICUT 06468

BARRY R. LIPSITZ
DOUGLAS M. McALLISTER

TELEPHONE: (203) 459-0200
FACSIMILE: (203) 459-0201

In re Application of: **Kaye, et al.**
Application No.: **09/837,496**
Filed: **April 18, 2001**
For: **PRE-PROCESSING OF BIT RATE ALLOCATION IN A
MULTI-CHANNEL VIDEO ENCODER**

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Art Unit: **2613**
Examiner: **A. Wong**

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is:

- ☒ A Response in the above-identified application (5 pages);
- ☒ Return receipt postage prepaid postcard;
- ☒ I certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: **Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on March 28, 2005.**

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the payment of the required fee(s) or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0625.

Very truly yours,

Douglas M. McAllister
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 37,886
Lipsitz & McAllister, LLC
755 Main Street
Monroe, Connecticut 06468
(203) 459-0200

Attorney Docket No.: **GIC-521.1**



1Fn 2613

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
)
Kaye, et al.) Examiner: A. Wong
)
Serial No.: 09/837,496) Art Unit: 2613
)
Filed: April 18, 2001)
)

For: **PRE-PROCESSING OF BIT RATE ALLOCATION IN A MULTI-CHANNEL VIDEO ENCODER**

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on: March 28, 2005.
Signature: Carol Prentice
Carol Prentice

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

This Response is responsive to the Office Action mailed on January 3, 2005. Claims 25-92 are pending. Claims 69-92 are allowed.

Claims 25-29, 31, 32, 36-40, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Balakrishnan (US 5,793,425).

Claims 30 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balakrishnan in view of Paik (U.S. 5,321,725).

Claims 33 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balakrishnan in view of Fling (U.S. 4,630,098).

Claims 34, 45, 47-52, 54, 55, 57-63, 65, 66, and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balakrishnan in view of Shen (U.S. 5,862,140).

Claims 35 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balakrishnan.